

**CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY**

**FOUR HUNDRED AND TWENTY-NINE**

**JAN. 26, 2012**

A regular meeting of the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority Board of Directors was held on Thursday Jan. 26, 2012, in the Board Room at 100 Constitution Plaza, Hartford, CT 06103. Those present were:

Directors: Acting Chairman David Damer  
Louis J. Auletta, Jr.  
Ryan Bingham (present by telephone)  
Timothy Griswold (present by telephone beginning 10:20 a.m.)  
Dot Kelly (present by telephone)  
Neil O'Leary  
Theodore Martland  
Andrew Nunn  
Scott Slifka (present by telephone)  
Donald Stein  
Steve Edwards, Bridgeport Project Ad-Hoc  
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport Project Ad-Hoc  
Steven Wawruck, Mid-Connecticut Project Ad-Hoc

Present from CRRA in Hartford:

Tom Kirk, President  
Jim Bolduc, Chief Financial Officer  
Dave Bodendorf, Senior Environmental Engineer  
Jeff Duvall, Director of Budgets and Forecasting  
Peter Egan, Director of Environmental Affairs and Operations  
Laurie Hunt, Director of Legal Service  
Paul Nonnenmacher, Director of Public Affairs  
Jim Perras, Government Relations Liaison  
Moirra Benacquista, Board Secretary/Paralegal  
Marianne Carcio, Executive Assistant

Others present: Dick Barlow, First Selectman of Canton, CT; John Pizzimenti, USA Hauling; Cheryl Thibeault, Covanta; Melissa Yeich, OPM.

Director Damer called the meeting to order at 9:35 a.m. and said a quorum was present.

**VOTE TO MAKE CHAIRMAN DAMER TEMPORARY CHAIR OF THE CRRA BOARD**

Director Stein made a motion to elect Director Damer as temporary Chairman of the CRRA Board meetings. Director Auletta seconded the motion.

The motion previously made and seconded was approved by roll call. Director Auletta, Director Bingham, Chairman Damer, Director Edwards, Director Kelly, Director Martland, Director Nunn, Director O’Leary, Director Slifka, Director Stein, Director Tillinger and Director Wawruck voted yes.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Louis J. Auletta	X		
Ryan Bingham	X		
David Damer	X		
Dot Kelly	X		
Ted Martland	X		
Andrew Nunn	X		
Neil O’Leary	X		
Scott Slifka	X		
Donald Stein	X		
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Steve Edwards, Bridgeport	X		
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport	X		
Steve Wawruck, Mid-Ct	X		

**PUBLIC PORTION**

Chairman Damer said that the agenda allowed for a public portion in which the Board would accept written testimony and allow individuals to speak for a limit of three minutes.

The Board members and management introduced themselves to Director’s O’Leary and Nunn, both of whom had recently joined the Board.

As there were no members of the public present wishing to speak, Chairman Damer proceeded with the meeting agenda.

**APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL DEC. 9, 2011, REGULAR BOARD MEETING**

Chairman Damer requested a motion to approve the minutes of the Dec. 9, 2011, Regular Board Meeting. Director Martland made a motion to approve the minutes, which was seconded by Director Stein.

The motion previously made and seconded was approved by roll call. Director Auletta, Director Bingham, Chairman Damer, Director Kelly, Director Martland, Director Slifka, and Director Stein voted yes. Director O’Leary and Director Nunn abstained.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Louis J. Auletta	X		
Ryan Bingham	X		
David Damer	X		
Dot Kelly	X		
Ted Martland	X		
Andrew Nunn			X
Neil O'Leary			X
Scott Slifka	X		
Donald Stein	X		
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Steve Edwards, Bridgeport			
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport			
Steve Wawruck, Mid-Ct			

**APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE REGULAR DEC. 22, 2011, BOARD MEETING**

Chairman Damer requested a motion to approve the minutes of the Dec. 22, 2011, Regular Board Meeting. Director Stein made a motion to approve the minutes, which was seconded by Director Martland.

The motion previously made and seconded was approved as amended and discussed by roll call. Director Auletta, Director Bingham, Chairman Damer, Director Edwards, Director Kelly, Director Martland, Director Slifka, Director Stein, Director Tillinger and Director Wawruck voted yes. Director O'Leary and Director Nunn abstained.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Louis J. Auletta	X		
Ryan Bingham	X		
David Damer	X		
Dot Kelly	X		
Ted Martland	X		
Andrew Nunn			X
Neil O'Leary			X
Scott Slifka	X		
Donald Stein	X		
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Steve Edwards, Bridgeport	X		
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport	X		
Steve Wawruck, Mid-Ct	X		

**FINANCE COMMITTEE**

**RESOLUTION REGARDING ADOPTION OF THE SOUTHWEST DIVISION BUDGET**

Chairman Damer requested a motion on the above referenced item. The motion was made by Director Martland and seconded by Director Auletta.

**RESOLVED:** That the estimated Fiscal Year 2013 SouthWest Division operating budget be adopted substantially in the form as presented and discussed at this meeting; and

**FURTHER RESOLVED:** That an estimated municipal solid waste tip fee of \$66.41 per ton be adopted for contracted member waste; and

**FURTHER RESOLVED:** That the actual municipal solid waste tip fee per ton will be calculated using the actual annual change in the Consumer Price Index (“CPI”) as reported in June 2012, which will be released prior to the commencement of the Fiscal Year 2013 billing for contracted member waste as prescribed in the Southwest Division’s Municipal Solid Waste Management Services Agreements.

Mr. Bolduc said the SouthWest Division is the division created to service what was formerly the Bridgeport Project. He said the SouthWest Division consists of the towns which contract to bring their MSW through CRRA to the Bridgeport facility (which is now owned by Wheelabrator). He explained CRRA negotiated a contract with Wheelabrator to move up to 261,000 tons a year to that facility. Mr. Bolduc said although there are penalty provisions for delivering beneath that threshold management has been able to work out an arrangement with Wheelabrator in order to avoid those penalties.

Mr. Bolduc said there are two components to the budget. He said the first is the contract with Wheelabrator, which is set at a fixed fee which escalates at 75% of a specified CPI which management estimates will be 2.62% at the end of June 30, 2012. Mr. Bolduc said management will adjust for the actual CPI which is published by the Federal Government at the end of June. He said the SouthWest Division also pays CRRA a fixed administration fee which moves with the CPI as well.

Director Edwards said the Southwestern Connecticut Regional Recycling Operating Committee (hereinafter referred to as “SWEROC”) met the previous week. He said CRRA management presented this number to SWEROC, which is tied into contracts. Director Edwards said this is the one CRRA contract he is aware of in which a fixed fee is used. He said SWEROC signed up for a \$2.00 per ton administrative fee with adjustments for CPI. Director Edwards asked whether the number used in administering the director labor and overhead is \$542,000 for FY’12. Mr. Bolduc replied yes. Director Edwards asked whether the fixed fee of \$2.00 a ton is working for CRRA and if CRRA is able to track on those costs closely. Mr. Bolduc said in a fixed fee contract there is a risk of having too much or not enough funding. He said the overall CRRA Authority budget this year is running around \$251,000 favorable. Mr. Bolduc said the SouthWest budget for the year to date is \$161,000 out of a total of \$1.5 million year to date.

Director Edwards asked whether the Mid-Connecticut MSA discussions covered the possibility of a fixed cost per ton. Mr. Bolduc said it was his understanding that the Mid-Conn towns requested a net cost of operations. Director Edwards said Wheelabrator negotiated for an adjustment for ash disposal for fuel costs. He said so far SWEROC has received a credit back. Director Edwards asked whether SWEROC is running close to that amount this year. Mr. Duvall replied yes.

Director Stein asked how long this contract is for. Mr. Kirk replied the end of FY'13. Director Stein asked whether CRRA is making or losing money on this contract. Mr. Bolduc said as of right now the Authority expenses are under budget.

Director Stein asked whether CRRA is making a profit. Mr. Bolduc replied that the Authority budget is currently running at a surplus so it could be assumed that some of the surplus would result from the SouthWest budget.

Director Edwards said the revenue coming in will be the same, the 261,000 tons will not be delivered to Wheelabrator, that figure being closer to 224,000 tons. He said the Wheelabrator contract operating costs will go down as they have not been penalizing SWEROC for under-delivering the minimum. Director Edwards said the revenue side is fixed. He said initially a year and a half ago CRRA was billing on the actual 224,000 tons and not the 261,000 tonnage and not recognizing the shortfall, which was corrected mid-stream last year. Director Edwards said CRRA will be receiving all of its contracted money as it is billing each month for 1/12<sup>th</sup> of SWEROC's commitment. He said it is his understanding that because Wheelabrator is allowing leakage from the system in return Wheelabrator is not enforcing the requirement for a tonnage minimum.

Director Stein asked if the direct labor, overhead and operational can vary. Mr. Bolduc said that will not vary because it is a fixed contract. He explained if there is a surplus it will be reflected in the Authority budget and be re-distributed amongst the other projects and divisions.

Mr. Kirk said there is a fixed-fee with the twelve towns, and management monitors and tracks the costs of administering its contracts. He said if there is a surplus it is enjoyed by CRRA, and any deficit is paid by CRRA. Director Stein said his question was how CRRA will make out against a fixed contract with fixed revenue. Mr. Bolduc said that is individually tracked. He said the Authority budget shows year to date a \$250,000 surplus overall. Director Stein questioned why management does not know how that surplus accrues against this specific contract.

Director Edwards asked whether CRRA keeps time sheets for work spent on the SWEROC project. Mr. Bolduc replied yes. Director Stein asked why management does not know where that is coming from project wise. Mr. Bolduc said that since this is the only fixed cost contract the accounting system is not designed to follow it.

Mr. Kirk said the information is there as the time is tracked and the overhead is allocated by a formula. Mr. Bolduc said it can certainly be provided, however, it will be a significant cost of time and funds in order to do so. Director Edwards said after its contracts expire, SWEROC will want to know how CRRA establishes a price per ton when negotiating. Mr. Bolduc said management would ask what services the SWEROC members would want to be provided and would make an estimate of those costs. Chairman Damer asked whether management would want to know how it performed against that \$2.00 a ton even if the services offered to SWEROC don't change. Mr. Bolduc said he would price those costs out at that time and provide it to the Board.

Director Tillinger said the chart of accounts is not currently structured that way. He said management could certainly make those changes. Director Tillinger said Mr. Bolduc's assumption is that overall the Authority budget is running a surplus. He said he believes what Mr. Bolduc is saying,

which he agrees with, is that the surplus is driven in part by this as an assumption. Director Tillinger said as the contract negotiation phase is reached it does seem like there should be analysis done of contract economics on the current contract, which would provide information on CRRA’s position. He said that would be a discreet activity which is done at that time. Director Stein said although it hasn’t been structured to do that, going forward management cannot create a price structure if it doesn’t know what has been spent on that particular aspect of the business.

Director Kelly suggested that the Finance Committee look into this issue in more detail, especially considering another fixed price will be requested by the SouthWest Towns in the future. Chairman Damer suggested perhaps management track just the labor portion. Mr. Kirk agreed. He said the other portion, the indirect/overhead, is formula driven. He said one of the reasons management is not breaking out these costs as a profit center is due to the costs associated with providing that information and there was intense pressure from the SouthWest towns to keep those costs as minimal as possible.

The motion previously made and seconded was approved by roll call. Director Auletta, Director Bingham, Chairman Damer, Director Edwards, Director Kelly, Director Martland, Director Nunn, Director O’Leary, Director Slifka, Director Stein, and Director Tillinger voted yes.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Louis J. Auletta	X		
Ryan Bingham	X		
David Damer	X		
Dot Kelly	X		
Ted Martland	X		
Andrew Nunn	X		
Neil O’Leary	X		
Scott Slifka	X		
Donald Stein	X		
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Steve Edwards, Bridgeport	X		
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport	X		
Steve Wawruck, Mid-Ct			

**POLICIES & PROCUREMENT COMMITTEE**

**RESOLUTION REGARDING THE AUTHORIZATION OF ON-CALL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE WORK AGREEMENT AND REQUEST FOR WORK FOR CRRA HARTFORD LANDFILL**

Chairman Damer requested a motion on the above referenced item. The motion was made by Director Stein and seconded by Director Nunn.

**RESOLVED:** That the President is hereby authorized to execute an agreement with Botticello, Inc. to provide labor and equipment for CRRA Hartford Landfill operation and maintenance activities as presented and discussed at this meeting.

**FURTHER RESOLVED:** That the President is hereby authorized to execute a Request for Work with Botticello, Inc. for the operation and maintenance of the CRRA Hartford Landfill as presented and discussed at this meeting.

Chairman Damer said the Policies & Procurement Committee discussed this resolution at length at its prior meeting. He said the Committee was favorably impressed with the bids which were received and the analysis which was done by management.

Mr. Bodendorf said CRRA went out to bid in November and conducted a mandatory pre-bid meeting at which seven different companies were represented. He said four of those companies submitted bids to CRRA. Mr. Bodendorf said CRRA analyzed the bids administratively and technically. He said it is management’s recommendation to continue to contract with the existing operator of the facility. Mr. Bodendorf said it was not the low bidder by about \$8,000; however management felt the low bidder did not have sufficient experience with landfill work.

Mr. Bodendorf said the type of activities which will be done under this contract involves a lot of work on very steep landfill slopes. He said the incumbent operator has many years of experience working on landfills and operated its own landfill for many years. Mr. Bodendorf said the incumbent has been at CRRA’s site for three years and the low bidder simply does not have the necessary amount of experience. Mr. Bodendorf said an inexperienced contractor can roll pieces of equipment, injure people, and damage infrastructure at the landfill.

The motion previously made and seconded was approved by roll call. Director Auletta, Director Bingham, Chairman Damer, Director Kelly, Director Martland, Director Nunn, Director O’Leary, Director Slifka, Director Stein, and Director Wawruck voted yes.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Louis J. Auletta	X		
Ryan Bingham	X		
David Damer	X		
Dot Kelly	X		
Ted Martland	X		
Andrew Nunn	X		
Neil O’Leary	X		
Scott Slifka	X		
Donald Stein	X		
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Steve Edwards, Bridgeport			
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport			
Steve Wawruck, Mid-Ct	X		

**PRESIDENTS’ REPORT**

Mr. Kirk said the CRRA facilities operated without environmental safety or public health problems or issues throughout the reporting period. He said the CRRA budget is running at a very modest surplus about 6% below the budgeted level. Mr. Kirk said the Mid-Connecticut Project facility is about \$350,000 unfavorable, a very recent change of about \$600,000 - \$700,000 which is directly attributable to the lower than anticipated and lower than budgeted power price. Mr. Kirk said the power price that CRRA was receiving expires in May which means one month of the new substantially lower revenue number is factored in.

Mr. Kirk said the SouthEast Project remains favorable with about \$1.2 million in projected revenue. He said the SouthWest Division has about a \$250,000 projected surplus, mostly due to the now expired FCR contract and prior operations.

Mr. Kirk said Mid-Conn continues to post improved boiler availability due to capacity utilization which is directly a result of the facility modification plan. He said that several years ago there were many unscheduled outages primarily due to pressure part failure, which is now less of a problem. He said there are now more routine acceptable levels of boiler unavailability. Mr. Kirk said CRRA's long term facility modification plans are to update all three boilers. He said there is a reoccurring issue which CRRA has been dealing with for a number of years, a vacuum leak in turbine No. 5. Mr. Kirk said the turbine units are older and maintenance is a challenge. He said there is a small vacuum leak at the back end stages which is problematic primarily for an efficiency reason. Mr. Kirk said CRRA has reached the conclusion that CRRA needs to approach this issue with a vacuum pump installation, a separate independent approach to taking non-condensed gases through a vacuum pump as opposed to finding the ultimate source of this leak, as it is too costly. Mr. Kirk said there is some continuing cost to running the electric side of this vacuum pump which is overwhelmed by the costs savings expected from removing the effect of the vacuum leak from the rear end of the turbine.

Mr. Kirk said there are reduced tonnage deliveries across all projects due to the depressed economy and diversion. He said he believes the bottom of the delivery slump may have been reached and will hopefully start to increase in time.

Mr. Kirk said as of Dec. 31, 2011, CRRA has 37 towns which have turned in signed MSA contracts. He said a number of towns have gone through the review process and are expected to turn in contracts soon. Mr. Kirk said the expected tonnage projected is for 741,000 tons, including a modest 37,000 tons of spot waste which will primarily be seasonal. Mr. Kirk said with this projected tonnage CRRA will be slightly oversubscribed but not to a point which concerns management. He said there are some assumptions which were made which are still up in the air, those most significant being the town of West Hartford. Mr. Kirk said although West Hartford had initially indicated interest in CRRA that may have changed, which would leave about a 20,000 ton impact to the system. He said CRRA has the spot-market waste available to handle that if West Hartford ultimately goes elsewhere.

Mr. Kirk said the Connecticut Department of Environmental Energy & Protection (hereinafter referred to as "CT DEEP") is in the process of issuing some export permits which CRRA is intervening in.

Mr. Kirk said CRRA received some very disappointing news in its bid for a renewal power contract, which came in at 3.5 cents per kilowatt hour, substantially lower than management had



recently anticipated and drastically lower than what was used to establish CRRA's pro-forma a year ago. He said the contracts with the member towns for future services still apply and the opt-out price will be honored. Mr. Kirk said it is disappointing to have such a substantial impact to revenues. He said the Board will be asked to establish a tip fee before the end of February which will be provided to the towns for their general fund development by the end of February.

Mr. Kirk said the most recent Mid-Connecticut Project Advisory Committee (hereinafter referred to as "MAC") meeting discussed three issues which Mr. Kirk would like to address with the Board. He said the first issue was host community benefits, and at the CRRA Board's request, the MAC Committee examined a potential host community policy which the CRRA Board could use to establish a host community benefit primarily for the South Meadows facility as there is already a policy for host community benefits at the transfer stations. Mr. Kirk said it was the MAC Committee's recommendation to phase in a market based assessment of a host fee for the Mid-CT facility. Mr. Kirk said he reviewed the disappointing power pricing and its potential long term impact on the project with the MAC Committee. He said the MAC Committee unanimously recommended CRRA's proposal for publically owned trash-to-energy facilities to be classified as generators of Class 1 renewable power. Mr. Kirk said the support of the MAC Committee for this matter during the upcoming legislative session is important.

Director Edwards asked whether that designation would be for all waste to energy plants. Mr. Kirk said it is management's recommendation that the benefit is enjoyed by the garbage generator, to provide the Class 1 benefit to publicly-owned facilities or in some other manner to be sure that the benefit is shared with the generator. He said at this point in time there is a concern that the benefit not just be shareholders. Mr. Kirk said management hopes the private sector operators would be supportive even if the Class 1 designation did not include an exclusion or was restricted to public sector facilities.

Chairman Damer asked whether management has estimated what this will do to the market value of Class I renewables. Mr. Kirk said Class 1 power is typically generated in Maine, Vermont and New Hampshire and is predominately solar and wind based and only about 4% of the generation of Class I renewables is in Connecticut. He said there is an imbalance as Connecticut consumes 20% of the Class 1 renewables. Mr. Kirk said if trash-to-energy is included in the pool of Class 1 renewables available it would be a very modest dilution which would immediately be overcome by the increase in demand as each year until 2020 the amount of Class 1 renewable power state suppliers must purchase increases by 1.5%. He said energy provider's portfolios today must include 8% Class 1 renewables, 3% Class 2 and 4% Class 3. He said in 2020 the requirements for Class 2 and Class 3 will be 4% each, but Class 1 will have grown to 20%. Mr. Kirk said that Class 1 supply will be insufficient to meet demands as Class 1 pricing will increase right up to the ceiling of \$55 a megawatt-hour set by the penalty price for not purchasing sufficient renewables.

Mr. Kirk said CRRA's proposal is to recognize the importance of the Mid-Conn facility and other waste to energy facilities as renewable assets for two reasons. He said the alternative to waste to energy is a gross violation of the State Solid Waste Management Plan, and secondly it is a necessary component of meeting the renewable portfolio standard requirements.

Mr. Kirk said the third issue discussed with the MAC Committee was municipal participation on the CRRA Board. He said the MAC Committee and CRRA Board have struggled to find a consensus for potential changes to the CRRA Board which will likely increase the size of the Board and municipal

participation. Mr. Kirk said based on prior work the MAC Committee has developed potential legislation which the Committee has asked Mr. Kirk to bring to the CRRA Board for consideration so that a single collaborative draft document can be presented to the Legislature.

Chairman Damer asked whether management had a sense of what legislative committee this legislation would eventually be brought to. Mr. Kirk said that the Government Administration & Elections Committee (hereinafter referred to as "GAE") would be approached. Mr. Perras said it would be very easy to present GAE with a concept which could be raised as a proposed bill and at that point work with GAE to develop specific language which can be raised into a Committee bill.

Mr. Kirk said last year a working group was created to discuss this issue. After some discussion it was decided that Directors Griswold, Kelly, and Stein, would represent the CRRA Board with MAC members to develop this legislation. Mr. Nonnenmacher said those Mac representatives would be; Curtis Rand, first selectman of Salisbury; Larry deBear of Rocky Hill; Jeff Bridges of Wethersfield and Laura Francis of Durham. Chairman Damer suggested providing the two proposals to the working group and the CRRA Board for review. He said a Special Board meeting will likely be needed to vote on this issue. Mr. Nonnenmacher said the MAC Committee would want the same privilege, and he could certainly arrange an electronic vote.

Mr. Kirk provided an update on the Mid-Conn Project transition progress at the request of Director Martland. He said historically the 25 plus year contract with the operator of the Mid-Conn facility waste processing facility side expired Dec. 30, 2011. Mr. Kirk said on Dec. 31, 2011, after a two year market based solicitation and selection process CRRA decided on and hired a new operator, NAES, Corporation (hereinafter referred to as "NAES").

Mr. Kirk said there were concerns over transition due to the long period of time the former operator, MDC, was in place. He said fortunately, after a rough start, the transition created only minimal and modest issues. Mr. Kirk said he is appreciative of MDC's cooperation in the end.

Mr. Egan said NAES took over the operation of the waste processing facility on Sat. Dec. 31, 2011, and began processing that day. He said overall things are going well after an expected learning curve. Mr. Egan said 19 MDC employees who had worked at the WPF came over to take jobs from NAES along with another two retired employees for a total of 21 former MDC employees.

Mr. Egan said NAES has a total of 58 employees. Director Edwards asked how that compares with what MDC had on board. Mr. Egan replied MDC had budgeted for about 82 employees but typically ran about a dozen short of that. He said the rest of the employees are individuals who are very happy to be working for NAES. Mr. Egan said training began in mid-December and NAES was in Hartford for several weeks training the new hires. He said in addition the CT DEEP came in on Jan. 12, 2012, and provided NAES with its solid waste facility operators' training as required by the CT DEEP.

Mr. Egan said it is management's goal to process 90 tons an hour on each of the two processing lines. He said NAES started in the 70's and is headed toward the low 80's and management expects in another month NAES will be at management's goal. Mr. Egan said the waste continues to be processed through the facility, but; it is just taking a few more hours to do so. He explained this is a function of the learning curve.

Mr. Egan said NAES immediately began processing at night and doing maintenance during the day. He said the advantage of this is that CRRA sells electricity to the grid and receives a higher price for the on-peak period from 7 a.m. to 11 p.m. Mr. Egan said another advantage is that it is more efficient and effective to perform maintenance as NAES can bring in consultants during regular working hours.

Mr. Egan said NAES's purchasing program is in place and it is now purchasing what is necessary to run the facility. He said the CT DEEP conducted a surprise inspection to determine compliance with the solid waste permit and associated regulations on Jan. 18, 2012. He said there were no issues identified, which is encouraging to management.

Director Kelly commended management on its efforts during the transition. Mr. Kirk noted as part of the second transition the second half of the plant (the EPF/PBF) will be transferring to NAES from CRRA's long time contractor Covanta. He said CRRA expects the transition to go smoothly as Covanta is a professional and skilled operator and management expects to retain many of those employees as well.

**RESOLUTION RECOGNIZING MICHAEL J. JARJURA FOR HIS SERVICE TO THE CONNECTICUT RESOURCES RECOVERY AUTHORITY**

Chairman Damer requested a motion on the above referenced item. The motion was made by Director Martland and seconded by Director Nunn.

**WHEREAS**, in 2002 the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority was crippled by its involvement with Enron Corporation, endangering its ability to provide vital services to the people of Connecticut; and

**WHEREAS**, the General Assembly reconstituted the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority to include more municipal representation on its Board of Directors; and

**WHEREAS**, on August 3, 2006, Michael J. Jarjura, Mayor of the City of Waterbury, was appointed to the CRRA Board of Directors as an official of a municipality whose population is greater than 50,000 as specified in Connecticut General Statutes Section 22a-261(c); and

**WHEREAS**, Michael Jarjura has faithfully and conscientiously carried out his responsibility to CRRA and, by extension, to the people of the State of Connecticut; and

**WHEREAS**, on March 25, 2010, Michael Jarjura was appointed Vice-Chairman of CRRA; and

**WHEREAS**, on November 8, 2011, Michael Jarjura lost in his bid for a sixth term as Mayor of Waterbury, bringing to an end his tenure on the CRRA Board of Directors; now

**BE IT RESOLVED** that the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority congratulates Michael J. Jarjura for his years of dedicated service to the City of Waterbury and the State of Connecticut and expresses its gratitude for his role in CRRA's success; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** that the Connecticut Resources Recovery Authority wishes Michael J. Jarjura success in his future endeavors.

Mr. Kirk thanked Vice-Chairman Jarjura for his years of service. Chairman Damer said Vice-Chairman Jarjura was a valuable asset to the CRRA Board for many years. The Board heartily agreed.

The motion previously made and seconded was approved by roll call. Director Auletta, Director Bingham, Chairman Damer, Director Edwards, Director Griswold, Director Kelly, Director Martland, Director Nunn, Director O’Leary, Director Slifka, Director Stein, Director Tillinger and Director Wawruck voted yes.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Louis J. Auletta	X		
Ryan Bingham	X		
David Damer	X		
Timothy Griswold	X		
Dot Kelly	X		
Ted Martland	X		
Andrew Nunn	X		
Neil O’Leary	X		
Scott Slifka	X		
Donald Stein	X		
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Steve Edwards, Bridgeport	X		
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport	X		
Steve Wawruck, Mid-Ct	X		

**EXECUTIVE SESSION**

Chairman Damer requested a motion to enter into Executive Session to discuss pending litigation, trade secrets, personnel matters, security matters, pending RFP’s, and feasibility estimates and evaluations with appropriate staff. The motion, made by Director Martland and seconded by Director Stein, was approved unanimously. Director Damer asked the following people join the Directors in the Executive Session:

- Tom Kirk
- Jim Bolduc
- Peter Egan
- Laurie Hunt

The motion previously made and seconded was approved by roll call. Director Auletta, Director Bingham, Chairman Damer, Director Griswold, Director Kelly, Director Martland, Director Nunn, Director O’Leary, Director Slifka, Director Stein, and Director Wawruck voted yes.

<b>Directors</b>	<b>Aye</b>	<b>Nay</b>	<b>Abstain</b>
Louis J. Auletta	X		
Ryan Bingham	X		
David Damer	X		
Dot Kelly	X		
Timothy Griswold	X		
Ted Martland	X		
Andrew Nunn	X		
Neil O'Leary	X		
Scott Slifka	X		
Donald Stein	X		
<b>Ad-Hocs</b>			
Steve Edwards, Bridgeport			
Mark Tillinger, Bridgeport			
Steve Wawruck, Mid-Ct	X		

The Executive Session began at 11:02 a.m. and concluded at 12:04 p.m. Chairman Damer noted that no votes were taken in Executive Session.

The meeting was reconvened at 12:04 p.m., the door to the Board room was opened, and the Board secretary and all members of the public (of which there were none) were invited back in for the continuation of public session.

**ADJOURNMENT**

Chairman Damer requested a motion to adjourn the meeting. The motion to adjourn was made by Director Stein and seconded by Director Kelly and was approved unanimously.

There being no other business to discuss, the meeting adjourned at 12:05 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Moira Benacquista  
Board Secretary/Paralegal